N.o.W.! Naturpark ohne Windräder

Postfach 1209 61260 Neu-Anspach

Tel.: 06084/9595976 info@now-neuanspach.de

www.now-neuanspach.de

N.o.W.!-Postfach 1209-61260 Neu-Anspach

**Open Letter** 

Neu-Anspach, November, 10, 2014

Warning to all potential investors in the WKA-Project Neu-Anspach of apparently

exaggerated profit forecasts

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen,

We, the citizens' initiative N.o.W.!, are committed to maintaining the cultural landscape

and the closed ecosystem of the nature reserve Taunus. The construction of a wind farm

in Neu-Anspach, in the middle of a nature reserve which is the recreational environment

for the Rhein-Main area, is of great importance for both humans and nature both inside

and outside of the region.

The goal of our open letter is to critically analyze the most recent wind data provided by

juwi (from October 2014) for the Neu-Anspach project and to warn the public and, in

particular, investors of apparently exaggerated profit forecasts.

For potential investors (banks, private investors, cooperatives and institutional investors

etc.) obtaining realistic wind data is essential to be able to adequately assess risk in

order to prevent the partial or total loss of the capital invested and/or to be able to invest

the capital on the basis of one's own risk assumptions. The most recent juwi

presentations give rise to enduring doubt as to the accuracy of their prognosis.

It can be assumed that the values specified by juwi in the results provided are unreliable

and thereby suggest an incorrect return on investment.

Allegedly, at this location here in Hessen, a wind of 7.1 m/s is prevalent and 2,733

full load hours per location is suggested as a premise.

Sprecher Matthias Höser Annett Fomin-Fischer

Ralph Bibo Arnt Sandler N.o.W.! Ktonr: 510 122 08 BLZ: 512 500 00

Taunus Sparkasse

1/5

Background:

On 14.10.14 an event organised by local wind power supporters (NAWI) took place in

Neu-Anspach together with juwi and MiEG (Mittelhessische Energie Genossenschaft) at

which it was intended to motivate those in attendance to make a financial commitment in

the planned wind farm. There were between 60 and 70 participants present consisting of

10 town officials, 5 speakers from juwi and MiEG and interested parties who were

approximately 50/50 for and against the planned location.

The MiEG speaker spoke about the, in his opinion, very low risk of subordinated loans

and suggested possible expected returns for the planned project of between 3-5%. It is

important to highlight at this juncture that to date MiEG has not been involved in a single

wind power project.

juwi attempted to create the impression of predictable high profitability exclusively on the

basis of an average wind speed measurement. Every well informed person knows that,

in order to calculate potential profits realistically and to assess profitability, it is imperative

to analyze the frequency distribution of the wind speed calculated at the hub height of the

planned facility.

Further, no statements were made about the consideration of reduction factors which are

customary in the trade according to the Technical Guideline TR6 for risk assessment.

In order to illustrate the insignificance of just one average value for the expected energy

efficiency, we have prepared 2 examples (see Annex 1). Furthermore, it is also unclear

whether, for instance, the required nightly power-offs in the summer months pursuant to

the expert opinion on bats (6%), shutdowns due to crane migration (1-2%) and the

reduction in performance due to reduced air density in the Central Uplands of Germany

(7.6% lower compared with the coast; Annex 2) have been taken into consideration in the

analysis.

Furthermore, the quality of the wind measuring data of the DWD from Kleiner Feldberg

(approx. 6 km away) has been strongly questioned by juwi. This is significant because

Sprecher

N.o.W.! Ktonr: 510 122 08

BLZ: 512 500 00

both the data of Kleiner Feldberg and the data of the Brandholz landfill (also approx. 6

km distance from the planning area) predict much lower average wind speeds. In the

meantime we have received a written opinion from the DWD which clearly contradicts the

statement of juwi (Annex 3).

The average wind speed predicted by juwi of 7.1 m/s and the resulting energy yield of

8.2 GWh per location (maximum of 4) would result in a reference value of 89% which is

much higher than comparable inland locations. In practice this appears to be more than

questionable.

We have investigated the real returns of comparable wind farms in the surrounding areas

and established that in 2012/2013 none of the locations investigated could be even

nearly operated at a profit. For 5 locations (see Annex 4) values between 49% and a

maximum of 56% were calculated (Annex 5). This is a long way from the reference value

of 80% which is currently expected by investors.

Furthermore, it must also be taken into consideration that under long-term observation

the average wind speeds have reduced slightly for years.

The general question of the profitability of the planned wind farm in Neu-Anspach is, inter

alia, called into question by juwi itself in its application for immediate enforcement with

the grant of planning permission dated 28.07.14. Under point 2.1 of the application it

states explicitly: "Since the EEG will be subject to significant amendments as of 2016

(degression in feed-in tariffs, introducing a "flexible ceiling"), the viable operation of the

wind farm would be significantly endangered if it can only begin to operate in 2016".

At this juncture the question already begs of whether a project can sustain lasting

profitability if a reduction in the feed-in tariffs of only EUR 7,800 per year and turbine calls

the profitability of the entire project into question and this with an investment volume of

EUR 5 million per turbine.

If one additionally assumes that predicted wind yields can only be achieved at the levels

of comparable locations (an average of 1,767 full load hours) then the loss following the

Matthias Höser

Sprecher

N.o.W.! Ktonr: 510 122 08 BLZ: 512 500 00

Taunus Sparkasse

reform of the EGG reduces to EUR 5,800.

As the example of Weiskirchen (Saarbrücker Zeitung 23.10.14)<sup>1</sup> clearly demonstrates.

even before completion of the wind farm there, juwi used revenue problems as a reason

for wanting to reduce the lease payments for the site by 35%. Under the aforementioned

EEG amendment this cannot be justified as already demonstrated. In all likelihood these

claims of exaggerated profitability are being made (see above reference value

observation) in order to persuade large investors and small private investors to make

financial resources available in the expectation of high profits.

It is well known that the financially troubled juwi is in urgent need of capital to start the

project before MVV possibly joins it, since MVV will not finance it and further financial

losses due to unfeasible projects may tip the scales as to whether MVV comes on board

or not (morgenweb, 17.10.2014).2 juwi has also entered into binding agreements with

turbine providers (e.g. Vestas in 2012 regarding 52 type V112 turbines), which contain

significant contractual penalties in the case of failure to accept the consignment or price

increases. Hereto is a quote from the abovementioned application for immediate

enforcement:

"In the case of significant delays following a binding order from a wind turbine producer

then additional contractual penalties apply to the applicant".

From our perspective, and in consideration of the aforementioned arguments, we would

strongly advise against investing in the Neu-Anspach project.

A further problem is that there is also currently a pending claim before the Frankfurt

Administrative Court (AZ 7 K 2472/14.F(V)) to enforce the public petition which was

previously rejected by the town. Furthermore, the citizens' initiatives N.o.W.! and

Feldberginitiative e.V. have already announced that in the case that the application for

the planned wind farm is granted then they will immediately file legal proceedings with

1 http://www.saarbruecker-zeitung.de/saarland/merzig-wadern/weiskirchen/weiskirchen/Weiskirchen-Buergermeister-undberbuergermeister-Genossenschaften-Gesellschaften-mit-beschraenkter-Haftung-Unternehmen-Firmen-und-Firmengruppen-Vertraege-und-Abkommen-Windparks-Windraeder;art446618,5482768

 $^2\ http://www.morgenweb.de/nachrichten/wirtschaft/wirtschaft/mvv-wird-mit-juwi-gruner-1.1931983$ 

the result that there is a high probability of enormous financial consequences in the case that planning permission is revoked.

Please feel free to contact us if you should have any questions.

Yours sincerely,

Annett Fomin-Fischer

1 Tani- Fich

Annexes 5

Ralph Bibo

Arnt Sandler